THE DOUBLE LAYER IN AN INSULATOR SUBJECT TO SHOCK COMPRESSION
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It has been shown [1] that a polar dielectric produces charges on the surfaces perpendicular to the direction of propagation of a shock wave; a current passes in the circuit of Fig. 1, in which 1 is the fixed plate with guard ring, 2 is the moving plate, and 3 is the insulator, the direction of incidence being shown by the arrows.

The initial current step is proportional to the dipole moment of the molecule, the number of dipoles per unit volume, and the plate area $S$, while it is inversely proportional to the thickness $l_{0}$. The current is produced by rotation of the dipoles.

The effect in, say, lucite can be used in dynamic pressure transducers. Here we assume that a double layer is formed behind the shockwave front; the equivalent circuit is discussed.

## 1. EQUIVALENT CRCUIT OF A POLARIZATION TRANSDUCER

We assume [1] that the wave polarizes the material, e.g., by rotating the dipoles, but that the state persists only while a dipole is accelerated, with subsequent relaxation and return to an equilibrium state, which has altered thermodynamic parameters. A polarized layer thus exists around the front.

A double layer moving at a constant speed in the circuit of Fig. 1 is equivalent to an emf $E_{0}$ determined by the thickness $\delta$ of the layer, the degree of orientation of the dipoles, and so on. This source, in general, possesses an internal resistance $R_{i}$, since in the steady state it cannot produce a current greater than a value governed by the number of dipoles oriented in unit time.

Figure 2 shows the $x-t$ diagram, in which $O A$ is the path of the plate (mass speed $u$ ), $O B$ is the path of the shock wave (double layer, wave speed D), region 1 is uncompressed material (dielectric constant $\varepsilon_{1}$, bulk specific resistance $\rho_{1}$ ), and region 2 is compressed material ( $\varepsilon_{2}$ and $\rho_{2}$ ).

We consider the case of $\delta \ll l_{0}$; then for any time $0 \leq \mathrm{t} \leq l_{0} / \mathrm{D}$ the system of Fig. 1 may be interpreted via the circuit of Fig. 3a. Switch $T$ is closed at $t=0$ and opens at $t=l_{0} / D$. The circuit parameters with subscripts 1 and 2 relate respectively to regions 1 and 2 in Fig. 2 and are written for unit area in the form

$$
\begin{gather*}
C_{1}=\frac{\varepsilon_{1}}{4 \pi\left(l_{0}-D t\right)}, \quad C_{2}=\frac{\varepsilon_{2} J}{4 \pi D t}, \quad R_{1}=\rho_{1}\left(l_{0}-D t\right), \\
R_{2}=\frac{\rho_{2} D t}{\sigma} \quad\left(\sigma=\frac{D}{D-u}\right) \tag{1.1}
\end{gather*}
$$

in which $\sigma$ is compression. The circuit of Fig. Ba is described by a second-order linear differential equation, which is difficult to solve. We consider two particular cases, in which $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ is such that its shunt action on $C_{1}$ can be neglected.

Case 1. The conductivity behind the shock front is small. We neglect the shunting action of $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ and pass from the equivalent circuit of Fig. 3a to that of Fig. 3b, in which

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=R_{i}+R_{e}, \quad C=C_{1} C_{2}\left(C_{1}+C_{2}\right)^{-1} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For this we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
E_{0}=i R+V_{c}, \quad \text { or } \quad I+\varphi=1 \\
\left(\varphi=V_{c} E_{0}^{-1}, \quad I=i R E_{0}^{-1}\right) . \tag{1.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

We differentiate the equation relating charge to voltage for a capacitor to get

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=\varphi R\left(\frac{C}{\varphi} \frac{d^{2} \varphi}{d t}+\frac{d C}{d t}\right) . \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here the reciprocal of the quantity in parentheses can be considered as the effective resistance of the capacitor, which is denoted by $R_{c}$,
while $R_{c} / R$ is denoted by $2 \mu^{2}$. From (1.4), $R_{c}=d t / d C$ if $\varphi=$ constant. From Eqs. (1.1) and (1.2),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu=2 \sqrt{\pi} \frac{l_{0}-(1-z) D t}{\sqrt{2 R D_{\varepsilon_{1}}(1-z)}} \quad\left(z=\frac{\varepsilon_{1}}{\varepsilon_{2} 0^{\circ}}\right) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substitution of Eq. (1.4) into Eq. (1.3) and replacement of $t$ by $\mu$ from Eq. (1.5) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \varphi}{d \mu}-\left(2 \mu+\frac{1}{\mu}\right) \varphi=-2 \mu \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The general solution to this is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi=\mu \exp \left(\mu^{2}\right)[\text { const }-\sqrt{\pi} \operatorname{erf}(\mu)] \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The value of $\mu$ for $t=0$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{0}=2 \sqrt{\pi} l_{0}\left[2 R D \varepsilon_{1}(1-z)\right]^{-1 / 2} \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and a current step arises in the circuit, but the potential difference across the capacitor at this instant is $V_{C} \sim \varphi=0$. This gives us the constant of integration in Eq. (1.7), so the particular solution of Eq. (1.6) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi=\mu \exp \left(\mu^{2}\right) \sqrt{\pi}\left[\operatorname{erf}\left(\mu_{0}\right)-\operatorname{erf}(\mu)\right] \tag{1,9}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is clear from Eqs. (1.5) and (1.8) that $\mu_{0}$ and $\mu$ are related by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu=\mu_{0}[1-(1-z) X]\left(X=D t l_{0}^{-1}\right) \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which $X$ is a dimensionless coordinate ranging from 0 to 1 as $t$ varies, $\mu$ running from $\mu_{0}$ to $\mu_{0} z_{\text {。 }}$

Finally, from Eq. (1.3) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=1-\mu \exp \left(\mu^{2}\right) \sqrt{\pi}\left[\operatorname{erf}\left(\mu_{0}\right)-\operatorname{erf}(\mu)\right] \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Figure 4 shows $I=f(X)$ as solid lines $1-5$ for $\mu_{0}$ of $0.5,1,2,4$, and 10 respectively.

Case 2. The material behind the front is a good conductor, $\mathrm{i}_{.} e_{\text {. }}$, $R_{C 2} \gg R_{2}$ and $R=R_{1}+R_{e} \gg R_{2}$

Here again the processes in Fig. 2 are described by the circuit of Eig. $3 b$, and the equations remain the same, except that the capacitance $C$ is the $C_{1}$ of Fig. 3a. This alters the form of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{0}=2 l_{0} \sqrt{\pi}\left(2 R D \varepsilon_{1}\right)^{-1 / 2} \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and Eq. (1.10) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu=\mu_{0}(1-X) \quad\left(X=D t l_{0}^{-1}\right) \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The range in $\mu$ is from $\mu_{0}$ to 0 as $X$ goes from 0 to 1; Fig。 4 (broken lines) shows the results from Eq. (1.11) for this case.
in both cases the value $Z=0.5$ has been used.

## 2. ANALYSIS OF RESULTS

1) The shape of the $I=f(X)$ curves in Fig. 2 is readily explained, since at $t=0$ the following operations are performed simultaneously in the circuit of Fig. 3b: the source of emf is switched on and the effective resistance of $C$ drops from $R_{c}=\infty$ to some finite value $R_{C O}$. The emf produces a current step $i=E_{0} / R(I=1)$, with subsequent decay governed by the relaxation time. Further, the change in $R_{c}$ produces a current step $i=E_{0}\left(R+R_{c}\right)^{-1}$ or in dimensionless terms,

$$
\begin{gather*}
I=\left(1+2 \mu^{2}\right)^{-1} \\
\left(I=\left(2 \mu^{2}\right)^{-1} \text { for } 2 \mu^{2} \gg 1\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{gather*}
$$

The shape of the $I(X)$ curves is determined by the joint action of these two factors.
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If $\mathrm{RC}_{0}$ is small relative to $l_{0} / \mathrm{D}\left(\mu_{0}\right.$ large), the first factor may be neglected to a first approximation. Then the initial condition for Eq. (1.7) will be $\varphi=1$ at $t=0$, so

$$
\begin{align*}
I= & 1-\mu \exp \left(\mu^{2}\right)\left\{\mu_{0}^{-2} \exp \left(-\mu_{0}^{2}\right)+\right. \\
& \left.+\sqrt{\pi}\left[\operatorname{erf}\left(\mu_{0}\right)-\operatorname{erf}(\mu)\right]\right\} \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

We stop the series expansion of $\operatorname{erf}(\mu)$ at terms of the second order in $\mu$ to get

$$
I=\left(2 \mu^{2}\right)^{-1}
$$

which agrees with (2.1).
2) We put the ratio of $R_{c_{2}}$ and $R_{2}$ from Eq. (1.1) as $4 \pi t / \rho_{2} \varepsilon_{2}$.

Case 1 corresponds to $4 \pi t / \rho_{2} \varepsilon_{2} \ll 1$, while case 2 corresponds to $4 \pi t /\left(\rho_{2} \varepsilon_{2}\right) \gg 1$. We assume for case 1 that $t=l_{0} / D \approx 1 \mu s e c$, while $\varepsilon_{2} / 4 \pi$ is 0.5 ; then $\rho_{2} \gg 2 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{ohm} \cdot \mathrm{cm}$. The condition $4 \pi \mathrm{t} / \rho_{2} \varepsilon_{2} \gg 1$ for the second case can be obeyed only for $t>t_{0}$, in which $t_{0}$ is some minimum time, which we may reasonably take as the resolving time of the apparatus (about $10^{-8} \mathrm{sec}$ ), and so $\rho_{2} \ll 2 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{ohm} \cdot \mathrm{cm}$ is not covered by solutions 1 and 2 .
3) Consider the region of $\mu_{0}$ large for case 1. The circuit current is given by Eq. (2.1). By specifying the ratio of the currents for two given values of $X$ (or $t$ ) on each of the $I(X)$ curves of Fig. 4, we get an expression for $z$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
z=\frac{1}{4 K-1}(1 \pm 2 \sqrt{K}) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which $K$ is the ratio of the current at $X=1$ to that at $X=0.5 ; \mathrm{K}>1$ for this range $\mu_{0}$ (Fig. 4), while $z$ is positive, so Eq. (2.3) may be put as

$$
\begin{equation*}
z=(2 \sqrt{K}-1)^{-1} . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

4) The inverse relation of the initial current step to $l_{0}[1]$ becomes an inverse-square relation for $\mu_{0}$ large as (2.1) shows.
5) The second formula of (2.1), put in the form $i=E_{0} \mathrm{dC} / \mathrm{dt}$, is the basic formula for the capacity-mansducer method [2].

This feature may be of value in determining $\varepsilon_{2}$ for dielectrics that do not produce an adequate $E_{0}$, e.g., nonpolar dielectrics or polar ones subject to only small o (for instance, the lower limit of detection for lucite is given [1] as a pressure of 40 kbar ).

Naturally, an external source of emf is needed in these cases.
6) The solution for the circuit of Fig. 3a can, in principle, give information on $\rho_{2}$, the specific bulk resistance behind the shock wave.

Then $\varepsilon_{2}$ is readily found from Eq. (1.5). In case 2 the parameters to be determined are $E_{0}$ and R . Figure 4 shows that K is $\left(I_{X=0.5}\right)^{-1}$, which Eq. (1.11) shows to be uniquely related to $\mu_{0}$, and the latter defines $R$ via Eq. (1.12). There is no difficulty in finding $E_{0}$.
7) This solution for substances with orientation polarization is correct also for substances with ionic or atomic polarization, since no assumption is made about the nature of the effect responsible for the emf.
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